

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,347	03/26/2004	Ray R. Eshraghi	4172-120	1569
23448	7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
	TUAL PROPERTY / TEC	CHNOLOGY LAW		
PO BOX 143 RESEARCH	TRIANGLE PARK, NC 2	7709	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/811,347	ESHRAGHI ET AL				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) 1	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-75 are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a		v the Examiner.				
-,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	•)).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received.	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
"	See the attached detailed Office action for a	ilst of the certified copies not re	;ceivea.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infon	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ rr No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1.Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-68, 71 and 73-75, drawn to a method for making a polymeric hollow fiber, classified in class 264, subclass 166.
- II. Claims 69, 70 and 72, drawn to a polymeric hollow fiber precursor, classified in class 428, subclass 542.8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making a product and precursor for the product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product using a different precursor or (2) that the precursor for the product as claimed can be used in another and materially different process to make a different product. In the instant case the precursor can be used to make other than hollow fibers, in that the solid core fiber need not be removed so that the precursor would be used to make multilayer solid fibers and rod structures.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Should applicant elect Group I, the following species have been identified:

Species A, that directed to forming polymeric hollow fibers by coating material on a core and removing the core (claims 1-43 and 56-68);

Species B, that directed to forming polymeric hollow fibers by using a swellable coating material and contacting the material with a swelling agent to effect disengagement of the material from the core (claims 44-55); and

Application/Control Number: 10/811,347

Art Unit: 1732

Species C, that directed to the coextrusion of the substrtae and membrane material (claims 73-75).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,347 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

2.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot January 22, 2005 M. Vurgst Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

1/22/05